

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34691

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 611
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: August 21, 2008
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
MICHAEL C. SPRINGS,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Second Judicial District, State of Idaho, Nez Perce County. Hon. Carl B. Kerrick, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for aggravated assault, to be served consecutively with sentence in a separate case, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

Michael C. Springs was convicted of aggravated assault, Idaho Code § 18-905. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, to run consecutively with a previously executed sentence in an unrelated case. Springs appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in ordering the aggravated assault sentence to run consecutively with his sentence in a separate case.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Springs's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.